

## Transport and Percolation in Disordered Systems— A Self-Consistent Time-Local Approach

Johan Nieuwoudt<sup>1</sup> and Shaul Mukamel<sup>1,2</sup>

---

A new self-consistent equation for the transport of excitations in disordered systems, which forms the basis for a new class of time-domain coherent potential approximations, is developed. As an example, we calculate the probability of remaining in the original site  $G_0(t)$  as well as the second moment of the distribution of excitations  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  for a random mixture of donors which satisfy a master equation with short-range transition rates. A percolation-type transition is observed and its characteristics are analyzed both above and below the transition point.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Density resummation; self-consistent equations; percolation.

Transport properties of disordered systems such as electron transfer, exciton migration, etc. are of considerable current interest both experimentally and theoretically.<sup>(1-8)</sup> Master equations with random transition rates are widely used in these studies.<sup>(2)</sup> Lattice models involving site or bond disorder are known to exhibit a percolation-type transition whereby the long-time behavior of the system undergoes a phase transition from a “localized” to an “extended” type, as the degree of disorder is varied.<sup>(9-12)</sup> A new type of reduced equations of motion which are time local was introduced recently toward the theoretical treatment of transport in disordered systems.<sup>(13)</sup> In this approach, we postulate that the ensemble-averaged quantities (e.g., survival probability in a trapping problem) obey an equation of the type

$$\frac{d\langle P \rangle}{dt} = -K(t)\langle P(t) \rangle \quad (1a)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> University of Rochester, Department of Chemistry, Rochester, New York 14627.

<sup>2</sup> Alfred P. Sloan fellow, Camille and Henry Dreyfus teacher-scholar.

This form is different from the more conventional memory-type equation

$$\frac{d\langle P \rangle}{dt} = - \int_0^t d\tau \tilde{K}(t-\tau) \langle P(\tau) \rangle \quad (1b)$$

Equations of the form (1a) were found recently extremely useful in the studies of systems with fractal geometry.<sup>(14-18)</sup> In this paper we develop a self-consistent equation (SCE) for the transport in disordered systems based on the time-local formalism. Our SCE provides a new kind of effective medium approximation which allows a systematic calculation of the time-dependent kernels  $K(t)$ . We apply it to a simple continuum master equation model with short-range transition rates. A percolation-type transition is found and the long-time (and dc conductivity) properties of the system are analyzed.

We consider a system of  $N$  particles distributed randomly in a volume  $V$ . At time  $t=0$ , one particle located at the origin is excited. The excitation can hop among the particles according to the master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_i = \sum_{j=1}^N W_{ij} (P_j - P_i) \quad (2)$$

$P_i$  is the probability of finding the excitation on the  $i$ th particle.  $W_{ij} \equiv W(r_{ij})$  depends only on the distance  $r_{ij}$  between the  $i$ th and the  $j$ th particles. We shall be interested in calculating  $\langle P(r, t) \rangle$ , i.e., the probability of finding the excitation at point  $r$  at time  $t$ , averaged over all possible configurations of the random system. In particular we consider the probability of the excitation to remain on the initially excited particle  $G_0(t) \equiv \langle P(r=0, t) \rangle$ , and the second moment of the distribution of excitations:

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \equiv \int dr r^2 \langle P(r, t) \rangle \quad (3a)$$

The latter quantity is related, in frequency space, to the diffusion coefficient  $D(\varepsilon)$  by the relation

$$\langle r^2(\varepsilon) \rangle \equiv \int_0^\infty d\tau \exp(-\varepsilon\tau) \langle r^2(\tau) \rangle = \frac{2d}{\varepsilon^2} D(\varepsilon) \quad (3b)$$

where  $d$  denotes the number of dimensions of the system. Of special interest is the long-time behavior of these quantities and its dependence on the form of the transfer rate  $W(r)$ .

We have derived a new type of self-consistent equation (SCE) for  $G_0(t)$  using the time-local reduced equation of motion.<sup>(13)</sup> The input to the SCE is a “naive” expansion of  $G_0(t)$  in the density of particles  $\rho = N/V$ ,

$$G_0(\rho, t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n B^{(n)}(t) \tag{4}$$

and each  $B^{(n)}$  may be obtained by solving a problem with  $n + 1$  particles. When using a cumulant expansion  $G_0$  assumes the form

$$G_0(\rho, t) = \exp \left[ - \int_0^t d\tau (t - \tau) F_1(\rho, \tau) \right] \tag{5}$$

where  $F_1$  may be expanded in density, i.e.,

$$F_1(\rho, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n F_1^{(n)}(t) \tag{6}$$

The coefficients  $F_1^{(n)}(t)$  are straightforwardly obtained by expanding (5) in powers of density and comparing with (4). We are now in the position to derive a resummed expression by  $F_1$  [Eq. (5)] which will hold for high densities. This is done by making the following ansatz:

$$F(\rho, G_0(\rho, \varepsilon)) \equiv F_1 \left( \rho, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \tag{7}$$

where

$$F_1 \left( \rho, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t} F_1(\rho, t) dt \tag{8}$$

and

$$G_0(\varepsilon) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t} G_0(t) dt \tag{9}$$

This ansatz is analogous to that made by GAF<sup>(6)</sup> using the more conventional memory-type equations. We feel that the time-local approach is to be preferred in this case since in the Forster problem, e.g., when we have one donor +  $N$  traps,  $F$  is rigorously first order in density, whereas the corresponding memory kernel is infinite order in density. A detailed discussion of this point was given recently.<sup>(13)</sup> A comparison of this type of density resummations (for the memory-type equations) with the mean field

CPA<sup>(5,7)</sup> was also made.<sup>(19)</sup> In order to get an expression of  $F$  we make use of the density expansion  $F$  and of  $G_0$ , i.e.,

$$F(\rho, G_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n F^{(n)}(G_0(\rho, \varepsilon)) \quad (10a)$$

and

$$G_0(\rho, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n B^{(n)}(\varepsilon) \quad (10b)$$

Upon expansion of  $F^{(n)}$  in powers of  $(G_0 - 1/\varepsilon)$  and making use of the known coefficients  $B^{(n)}$  we obtain a systematic density expansion of  $F(\rho, G_0)$ . To first order in density we then get

$$G_0(t) = \exp \left[ \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varepsilon \frac{\exp(i\varepsilon t)}{\varepsilon^3} \int dr \frac{W(r)}{1 + 2W(r) G_0(i\varepsilon)} \right] \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) is our final SCE which should be solved for  $G_0$ .

A resummed expression for the second moment is obtained by using a similar procedure. This time, the input is the naive expansion of the entire Green's function  $G(r, t) = \langle P(r, t) \rangle$ . It is convenient to work with the transformed Green's function

$$G(k, \varepsilon) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t} dt \int dr e^{ik \cdot r} G(r, t) \quad (12)$$

for which the naive expansion is known,<sup>(4)</sup> i.e.,

$$G(k, \varepsilon) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n b^{(n)}(k, \varepsilon) \quad (13)$$

When using the cumulant expansion, Eq. (13) assumes the form

$$G(k, t) = \exp \left[ \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} k^2 D_1 \left( k, \rho, \frac{1}{i\varepsilon} \right) \exp(i\varepsilon t) \right] \quad (14)$$

In analogy with Eq. (7) we now define a new kernel  $D(k, \rho, G_0(\rho, \varepsilon))$  such that

$$D(k, \rho, G_0(\rho, \varepsilon)) \equiv D_1(k, \rho, 1/\varepsilon) \quad (15)$$

so that the second moment [Eq. (2)] is given by

$$\langle r^2(\varepsilon) \rangle = \frac{2d}{\varepsilon^2} D(0, G_0(\varepsilon)) \quad (16)$$

A systematic expansion for  $D$  may be obtained if we proceed along the same lines which led to the expansion of  $F$ . To lowest order in density this yields

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = -\rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(u\varepsilon t)}{\varepsilon^2} \int d\mathbf{r} r^2 \frac{W(r)}{1 + 2W(r)G_0(i\varepsilon)} \quad (17)$$

Our final procedure is thus to solve Eq. (11) for  $G_0$  and then, upon the substitution of the result in Eq. (17) we get  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ . Note that we do not need the expansion of  $G$  [Eq. (13)] in order to get the SCE for  $G_0$ . In this respect, our procedure is simpler than the analogous derivation of GAF.<sup>(6)</sup>

We have solved our SCE [Eq. (11)] using the following model for  $W(r)$ :

$$W(r) = \begin{cases} W_0, & r \leq r_0 \\ 0, & r > r_0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

This model represents the universality class of short-range transfer rates  $W(r)$  with cut-off, and is similar to a lattice percolation model. Hereafter, we shall switch to dimensionless time and frequency units by taking  $W_0 = 1$ .

For this model Eq. (11) assumes the form

$$G_0(t) = \exp \left[ \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \exp(i\varepsilon t) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + 2G_0(i\varepsilon)} \right] \quad (19)$$

Here  $V_d$  is the volume of a  $d$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $r_0$  and  $c \equiv \rho V_d$  is the number of particles in that volume. Also in this case Eq. (6) results in

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = -\frac{d}{d+2} r_0^2 \ln G_0(t) \quad (20)$$

Note that this relation holds only for our particular model (18) within the two-body (lowest order in density) approximation. This is not a universal relation and is very different from the simple scaling relation<sup>(2,13,20-22)</sup>

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = r_0^2 G_0(t)^{-2/d} \quad (21)$$

It is not clear at present which approximation [(20) or (21)] is more realistic. The solution of Eq. (11) for long times may be obtained by postulating the asymptotic form

$$G_0(t) \sim A \exp(-Bt), \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (22)$$

Upon substitution of Eq. (22) into (19) and considering the long time limit, we obtain

$$A \exp(-Bt) = \exp \left[ \frac{c}{B + 2A} \left( -Bt - \frac{2A}{B + 2A} \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

Two cases will now be considered, for  $B = 0$  Eq. (23) yields

$$A = \exp(-c/2A) \quad (24)$$

which can be solved iteratively, resulting in

$$A = \exp \left( -\frac{c}{2} \chi \right) \quad (25a)$$

$$\chi = \exp \left( \frac{c}{2} \exp \left( \frac{c}{2} \exp \left( \frac{c}{2} \dots \right) \right) \right) \quad (25b)$$

For  $B \neq 0$  Eq. (23) results in

$$B = c - 2A \quad (26a)$$

and

$$A = \exp(-2A/c) \quad (26b)$$

whose iterative solution is

$$A = \exp \left( -\frac{2}{c} \exp \left( -\frac{2}{c} \exp \left( -\frac{2}{c} \dots \right) \right) \right) \quad (27)$$

Equations (25) and (27) clearly show the existence of a percolation-type critical point at  $c^* \equiv 2/e$  for which  $A^* = 1/e$  and  $B^* = 0$ . Below the critical point,  $c < c^*$ , the appropriate solution is given by Eq. (25) since Eq. (26) gives an unphysical solution whereby  $B$  is negative. In this region,  $A$ , as given by Eq. (25), varies from  $A = 1$  for  $c = 0$  to  $A = 1/e$  for  $c = c^*$ , and the long-time solution is "localized." [Both  $G_0(t)$  and  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  tend to a finite nonzero value at long times.] On the other hand for  $c > c^*$  the solution is "extended" since by Eqs. (26) and (27) both  $A$  and  $B$  assume finite, nonnegative values. In Figure 1, we present the solution of Eqs. (24) and (26) for  $A$  and  $B$  which gives the long-time behavior of  $G_0(t)$ .

We have further solved our SCE [Eq. (11)] iteratively in order to get the entire time dependence of  $G_0(t)$ . The iteration is done by taking a zero-




Fig. 1. Solutions of Eq. (23) above and below the critical point  $c^* = 2/e$ .  $A$  and  $B$  are related to the asymptotic form  $G_0(t) \sim A \exp(-Bt)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  [Eq. (22)]. For  $c < c^*$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $G_0(\infty)$  is finite or "localized," whereas for  $c > c^*$ ,  $G_0(t)$  decays exponentially and the solution is "extended."

order approximation for  $G_0(t)$ , and substituting it in the right-hand side of Eq. (11). The resulting  $G_0(t)$  is subsequently substituted back into Eq. (11) and the procedure is repeated until it converges.

For  $c < c^*$  we have used  $G_0(t) = A$  as the zeroth iteration where  $A$  is given in Fig. 1. The first iteration then becomes

$$G_0(t) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{c}{2A} [1 - \exp(-2At)] \right\} \tag{28}$$

which is found to be a reasonable approximation for  $G_0(t)$  for  $c < c^*$ . For  $c > c^*$  we have used  $G_0(t) = A \exp[-(c - 2A)t]$  as a zeroth iteration where

$A$  is given in Fig. 1. The first iteration, which is again found to be a reasonable approximation for  $G_0(t)$ , is

$$G_0(t) = \exp \left\{ -(c - 2A)t - \frac{2A}{c} [1 - \exp(-2ct)] \right\} \quad (29)$$

The converged solutions of our SCE both above and below the transition are shown in Fig. 2. In conclusion we shall summarize the general characteristics of our solutions:




Fig. 2. The converged solutions of the SCE [Eq. (19)]  $W_0 = 1$ . The dashed curves correspond to  $c < c^*$  and the time axis is shown on the top of the figure. The various curves correspond to different values of  $c^* - c$ : (a) 0.24, (b) 0.1, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.0001. The solid curves are for  $c > c^*$ . The time axis shown on the bottom of the figure is scaled by  $(c - c^*)t$ . The values of  $c - c^*$  are (a') 2.26, (b') 1, (c') 0.1, (d') 0.01.



(a) The long-time ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) behavior of  $G_0(t)$  is

$$G_0(t) \sim \begin{cases} A & c < c^* \\ A \exp[-(c - 2A)t], & c > c^* \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where  $A$  is a function of  $c$  is shown in Fig. 1.

(b) The long-time behavior of the second moment is

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim \begin{cases} -\frac{d}{d+2} r_0^2 \ln A, & c < c^* \\ \frac{d}{d+2} r_0^2 (c - 2A)t, & c > c^* \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

(c) The low-frequency limit of the diffusion coefficient close to the critical point is

$$D(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon r_0^2}{2(d+2)}, & c < c^* \\ \frac{1}{4(d+2)} (c - c^*) r_0^2, & c > c^* \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

(d) The critical exponents of  $A$  and  $B$  near the critical point are

$$(A - A^*) \sim \begin{cases} \left( \frac{c^* - c}{e} \right)^{1/2}, & c < c^* \\ (c - c^*)/4, & c > c^* \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

$$B \sim (c - c^*)/2 \quad c > c^* \quad (34)$$

The exponent 1 for  $B$  is characteristic of mean field theories.<sup>(10,11)</sup>

(e) The small and large concentration limits of  $A$  and  $B$  are

$$A \sim \begin{cases} 1 - c/2, & c \rightarrow 0 \\ 1 - 2/c, & c \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

$$B \sim \begin{cases} 0, & c \rightarrow 0 \\ c - 2, & c \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the petroleum research fund administered by the American Chemical Society for partial support of this work.

## REFERENCES

1. J. M. Ziman, *Models of Disorder* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).
2. S. Alexander, J. Bernasconi, W. R. Schneider, and R. Orbach, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**:175 (1981).
3. H. Scher and M. Lax, *Phys. Rev. B.* **7**:4491, 4502 (1973).
4. S. W. Haan and R. Zwanzig, *J. Chem. Phys.* **68**:1879 (1979).
5. T. Odagaski and M. Lax, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**:847 (1980); *Phys. Rev. B.* **25**:2307 (1982); **26**:6480 (1982).
6. R. C. Gouchanour, H. C. Andersen, and M. D. Fayer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **70**:4254 (1979); R. F. Loring, H. C. Anderson, and M. D. Fayer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **76**:2015 (1982); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**:1324 (1983).
7. I. Webman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**:1496 (1981).
8. A. Blumen, J. Klafter, and R. Sibley, *J. Chem. Phys.* **72**:5320 (1980).
9. S. Kirkpatrick, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **45**:574 (1973).
10. B. Stauffer, *Phys. Rep.* **54** (1979).
11. M. H. Cohen, J. Jortner, and I. Webman, in *Electrical Transport and Optical Inhomogeneous Media*, J. C. Garland and D. B. Tanner, eds. (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1978).
12. R. Kopelman, E. M. Momberg, and F. W. Ochs, *Chem. Phys.* **19**:413 (1977); **21**:373, 3 (1977).
13. S. Mukamel, *J. Stat. Phys.* **27**:317 (1982); **30**:179 (1983).
14. P. G. de Gennes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **76**:3316 (1982).
15. B. D. Hughes, M. F. Shlesinger, and E. W. Montroll, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **78**:3287 (1981); B. D. Hughes, E. W. Montroll, and M. F. Shlesinger, *J. Stat. Phys.* **28**:111 (1982).
16. R. Rammal and G. Toulouse, *J. Phys. (Paris) Lett.* **44**:L13 (1983).
17. A. Blumen, J. Klafter, and G. Zumofen, *Phys. Rev. B.* **27**:3429 (1983).
18. P. Evesque, to be published.
19. G. Korzeniewski, R. Friesner, and R. Silbey, *J. Stat. Phys.* **31**:451 (1983).
20. J. Klafter and R. Silbey, *J. Chem. Phys.* **72**:843 (1980).
21. C. D. Mitesu, H. Ottavi, and J. Rousseng, in *Electrical Transport and Optical Inhomogeneous Media*, J. C. Garland and D. B. Tanner, eds. (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1978).
22. Y. Gefen, A. Aharony, and S. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**:77 (1983).